### **Public Interest Litigation (PIL)**

# Public Interest Litigation (PIL) – Overview

Public Interest Litigation (PIL) is a judicial innovation in India that allows any individual or group to file a petition before the courts seeking enforcement of rights on behalf of the public, especially the poor, marginalized, or disadvantaged who cannot approach the court themselves. It represents a **departure from the traditional concept of locus standi**, expanding access to justice.

# Meaning and Origin

- **Meaning:** PIL refers to litigation filed in a court of law for the protection of "public interest." This may include issues like pollution, environmental degradation, violation of human rights, exploitation of labor, and denial of basic human rights.
- Origin: The concept evolved in the United States during the 1960s, and in India, it was developed by the Supreme Court during the post-Emergency period (late 1970s and early 1980s) through judicial activism.

## Constitutional Basis

PIL in India draws its strength primarily from:

- 1. **Article 32** Right to constitutional remedies (Supreme Court jurisdiction).
- 2. Article 226 Powers of High Courts to issue writs.
- 3. Articles 14, 21, and 39A Right to equality, right to life and liberty, and directive principles promoting free legal aid and justice for all.

# **Evolution Through Judicial Pronouncements**

- 1. Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar (1979 AIR 1369)
  - The first recognized PIL in India.
  - Highlighted plight of undertrial prisoners languishing in jails.
  - Justice P.N. Bhagwati emphasized the right to speedy trial under Article 21.

### 2. S.P. Gupta v. Union of India (1981 AIR 149)

- Known as the "Judges Transfer Case."
- Recognized *locus standi* of a public-spirited citizen to approach the court for the enforcement of rights of others.
- 3. People's Union for Democratic Rights v. Union of India (1982 AIR 1473)

• Expanded PIL to labor rights; ensured non-exploitation of workers in Asiad Games construction.

## 4. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (1986 AIR 1086)

• Established PIL in environmental protection (e.g., Ganga pollution case, Oleum gas leak case).

### 5. Sheela Barse v. State of Maharashtra (1983 AIR 378)

• Addressed custodial violence and rights of women prisoners.

## 6. Vineet Narain v. Union of India (1998 1 SCC 226)

• Dealt with corruption in high offices and accountability of investigative agencies (Hawala case).

# Features of PIL

- **Liberalized Locus Standi:** Any public-spirited person can file a petition on behalf of those who cannot approach the court.
- Procedural Flexibility: Letters or postcards are accepted as writ petitions.
- Wider Scope: Covers fundamental rights violations, environmental degradation, consumer welfare, corruption, and governance issues.
- Court's Active Role: The judiciary often appoints expert committees, commissions, and gives continuing directions.

# Objectives of PIL

- 1. To ensure justice to the poor, illiterate, and marginalized.
- 2. To uphold rule of law and constitutional values.
- 3. To promote accountability and transparency in governance.
- 4. To protect environment, heritage, and public health.
- 5. To expand the interpretation of fundamental rights under Part III of the Constitution.

# Procedure for Filing PIL

#### 1. Jurisdiction:

- Under Article 32 in the Supreme Court.
- Under Article 226 in the High Courts.

#### 2. Form:

• A petition can be filed in proper legal form or even through a letter (in exceptional cases).

## 3. Respondent:

• The PIL is filed against a public authority, government, or agency responsible for the alleged violation.

#### 4. Court's Powers:

• The court may issue writs (mandamus, certiorari, prohibition, quo warranto, habeas corpus) or continuous monitoring orders.

## Areas Covered under PIL

- Environmental protection (Ganga, Yamuna pollution cases).
- Bonded labor and child labor (Bandhua Mukti Morcha case).
- Women's rights and safety.
- Prisoners' rights and speedy trial.
- Consumer protection and public health.
- Governance and corruption cases.

# Advantages of PIL

- Democratizes access to justice.
- Empowers citizens and civil society.
- Strengthens accountability and good governance.
- Brings social transformation through judicial activism.
- Protects collective rights and interests.

## Misuse and Limitations

Despite its noble intent, PIL has been criticized for misuse:

- Frivolous or politically motivated petitions waste judicial time.
- Publicity-oriented litigations dilute the purpose.
- Courts sometimes face judicial overreach accusations.

## Landmark judgment on misuse:

• State of Uttaranchal v. Balwant Singh Chaufal (2010 3 SCC 402) – Supreme Court laid down guidelines to prevent misuse of PIL, emphasizing bona fide intention and genuine public cause.

## **Recent Trends**

- Shift from "Public Interest" to "Private Interest" litigation in some cases.
- Emergence of Environmental PILs and Social Justice PILs.
- Courts' increasing role in **policy enforcement**, e.g., pollution control, police reforms, electoral reforms.

# Conclusion

Public Interest Litigation has become a cornerstone of Indian judicial activism and social justice. It has transformed the judiciary into a **guardian of the public interest**, expanding the scope of fundamental rights and bringing governance closer to constitutional ideals. However, it must be exercised responsibly to maintain its credibility and effectiveness as a tool for **justice for all**.